estimated at 17 million, Such estimates have not been made Statewise.

(b) Details are given in the Statement

(c) Estimates are not available as yet.

(d) Government of India is implementing various schemes such as Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP), Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS), Nehru Rozgar Yojana (NRY), Prime Minister's Integrated Urban Poverty Eradication Programme (Prime Minister's IUPEP) etc. for creation of employment opportunities in various States including Notrh-Eastern States. North-Eastern Council is also implementing some schemes including self-employment schemes also for the benefit of specific target groups in the region. District autonomous councils are in operation in almost all the States of the region. The councils formulate their own schemes under entrusted sectors.

STATEMENT

Statewise Employment in the Organised Sector (Public and Private) for the Quarter ending 31st March (in thousands)

		1992		1993		1994		1995	
		Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1403.9	383.2	1427.1	416.3	1438.6	439.1	1448.2	468.3
2.	Arunachal Pradesh								
3.	Assam	507.8	574.4	510.3	578.1	522.2	547.1	529	565.2
4.	Bihar	1357.9	295.3	1422.8	265	1440.4	260.9	1480.3	265.9
5.	Goa, Daman & Diu	66.3	33.4	68.9	39.6	68.7	40.8	69.7	42.7
6.	Gujarat	965	704	957	713.9	972.6	729.1	969.5	748
7.	Haryana	401.9	206.3	403	209.6	406	225.9	420.6	217.2
8.	Himachal Pradesh	242.1	34.3	248.3	34.5	250.7	36.6	250.1	39.4
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	206.6	10.4	206.6	10.4	203.9	12.5	196.9	12.9
10.	Karnataka	1028	451.6	1026	475.5	1032.8	497.7	1050.6	527.6
11.	Kerala	651	528	646.4	539.1	651.2	546.9	622.3	551.7
12.	Madhya Pradesh	1424	247	1419.3	240	1436.6	240.3	1426.8	243.7
13.	Maharashtra	2317.6	1417.5	2337	1438.5	2328.9	1437.3	2336.9	1477.3
14.	Manipur	56.6	1.1	61.1	1	72.4	1.5	75.2	1.6
15.	Meghalaya	64.4	4.8	65.4	5	65	6.1	66.6	6.2
16.	Mizoram	36.5	1.6	37.4	1.5	38	2.2	39.2	1.7
17.	Nagaland	63.1	2	66.8	2.	68.2	2.2	67.3	2.2
18.	Orissa	688.4	127.1	708.7	102.4	701.8	92.5	706.7	93.8
19.	Punjab	590.7	228.5	593.6	232.8	594.4	239.2	595.5	246
20.	Rajasthan	966.7	232.1	976.1	233.4	984.7	239.1	1003.6	248.9
21.	Sikkim								
22.	Tamil Nadu	1573	701.3	1572.8	690.2	1625.4	756.1	1633.7	767.9
23.	Tripura	87.8	10.8	94.4	14.3	94.4	14.2	95.5	16
24.	Uttar Pradesh	2128.7	538.7	2138.9	530.8	2134.5	522.3	2011.7	496
25.	West Bengal	1608.8	860.5	1561.8	819.4	1545.6	786.5	1597.9	764.9
26.	A. & N. Islands	32.3	4.3	32.3	4.3	32.4	4.3	32.4	4.3
27.	Chandigarh	62.8	15.3	65.1	15.5	62.1	16	63.8	16.2
28.	D. & N. Haveli								
29.	Delhi	634.7	222.6	635.8	227.4	630.2	223.1	632.2	221.5
30.	Lakshadweep								
31.	Pondicherry	43.1	10	43.1	10	43.4	10.5	44	11.2

Note :

1. The data collected under the Employment Market Information (EMI) covers only the Organised Sector of the Economy, which, inter-alia, covers all establishments in the Public Sector irrespective of their sige and non-agricultural establishments in the Private Sector employing 10 or more persons
2. EMI programme is being implemented in all the States/UTs of the country except Arunachal Pradesh, Sikkim, Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Lakshadweep.

Nagar Palika Elections in Scheduled Areas

4220. SHRI K. PRADHANI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether some cases were filed in some High Courts against implementation of the Nagar Palika Elections in Scheduled Areas by the State Governments;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Government of India has any role to play in this regard; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU) : (a) and (b). No such cases have been reported by any of the State Governments having Schedule Areas.

(c) and (d). As per Entry 5 of the State List of the Constitution, Local Government is a State Subject. The elections to Urban Local Bodies are, therefore, held in accordance with the provisions of the State Municipal Laws.

[Translation]

Allocation of Kerosene

4221. SHRI DINESH CHANDRA YADAV : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether the eight States of the country are being supplied Kerosene Oil below the national average;

(b) if so, the names of such eight States alongwith the details thereof;

(c) whether the predominantly rural poor and backward States are allocated less kerosene oil;

(d) if so, the justification for such anomalies;

(e) the names of such States which have higher allocation of kerosene oil than national average; and

(f) whether the Government propose to allocate kerosene Oil on priority basis to the predominantly rural States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI T.R. BAALU) : (a) to (f). Details regarding the eight States whose SKO allocation is below national average and the States which have higher allocation of SKO than the national average are given in the Statement I and II enclosed.

Kerosene is an allocated product. The Central Government makes bulk allocation of kerosene to the States on historical basis i.e. on the basis of past entitlements. State Governments manage its retail distrubution.

Requests are received from State Governments for additional allocation of kerosene from time to time. However, on account of constraints of product availability, foreign exchange and heavy subsidy involved, it is not possible to meet the full demand of the States. Nevertheless, a 3% increase has been given in the allocation of kerosene for the country as a whole during 1993-94, 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97, wherein more additional quantity was allocated to the States/UTs having less per capita consumption and vice versa, to reduce the disparity among the States/UTs.

STATEMENT-I

Names of States whose SKO Allocation is below National Average

States	SKO Allocation during 1996-97 (MT)	Per Capita Allocation 1996-97 (KG./ Annum)	%Age Growth during 1996-97 over 1995-96 (%age)
Orissa	227701	7.19	7.7
Bihar	647512	7.50	6.7
Madhya Pradesh	508539	7.68	6.1
Rajasthan	345753	7.86	5.6
Uttar Pradesh	1128847	8.11	4.9
Andhra Pradesh	628138	9.44	2.1
Kerala	279701	9.61	1.8
Haryana	159099	9.66	1.7

STATEMENT-II

Names of States whose SKO Allocation is below National Average

States	SKO Allocation during 1996-97 (MT)	Per Capita Allocation 1996-97 (KG./ Annum)	%Age Growth during 1996-97 over 1995-96 (%age)
1	2	3	4
Karnataka	498797	11.09	2.9
Himachal Pradesh	57345	11.09	35.8
Tripura	30577	11.09	32.3
Nagaland	13414	11.09	26.1
Meghalaya	19682	11.09	22.4
Mizoram	7649	11.09	20.2
Jammu & Kashmir	86392	11.19	1.0

1	2	3	4
Arunachal Pradesh	9675	11.19	1.0
West Bengal	763609	11.22	1.0
Assam	256772	11.46	1.0
Manipur	21498	11.70	1.0
Tamil Nadu	682026	12.21	1.0
Punjab	332224	16.38	1.0
A & N Island	4676	16.66	1.0
Lakshadweep	894	17.29	1.0
Pondicherry	15162	18.77	1.0
Sikkim	7711	18.97	1.0
Maharashtra	1542924	19.55	1.0
Gujarat	814341	19.71	1.0
Dadar N. Haveli	3170	22.89	1.0
Goa	27677	23.66	1.0
Delhi	243334	25.83	1.0
Diu/Daman	3003	29.56	1.0
Chandigarh	21348	33.25	1.0

National Average : 11.09

[English]

Pending Proposals

4222. SHRI MANORANJAN BHAKTA : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that Union Territory Administration of Andaman and Nicobar Islands do not have the powers to create Plan Posts and Proposals are pending before the respective ministries for a long time;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the position of other Union Territories in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) to (c) Andaman and Nicobar Administration have informed that they do not have, at present, powers to create plan posts and their proposals for creation of Group 'A' plan posts are pending with different Ministries of Government of India. Ministry of Finance had withdrawn the powers given to various Ministries/ Departments of the Government of India and the Union Territories to create plan posts in Groups 'B', 'C' and 'D' in March, 1994. Ministry of Finance have, however, since restored the powers to create Group 'B', 'C' and 'D' plan posts in respect of the Union Territories of Delhi and Pondicherry,

[Translation]

Financial Assistance During Eighth Plan

4223. SHRIMATI SUSHMA SWARAJ :
SHRI NAWAL KISHORE RAI :

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether there has been only ten per cent increase in the amount of financial assistance provided by the Government during the Eighth Five Year Plan;

(b) if not, the extent of increase made in the subsidy amount during each year of the Plan;

(c) whether there has been constant increase in the consumer industrial labour cost index in the country during the said years; and

(d) if not, the rate of increase in the consumer industrial labour cost index during each year of Eighth Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) and (b). Financial assistance in the form of subsidy during 1992-97 (yearwise) is as under :

Year	Rs. Crore	Annual % Increase
1992-93	11995	-2.1
1993-94	12682	5.7
1994-95	12982	2.4
1995-96 (RE)	13726	5.7
1996-97 (BE)	16320	18.9

(c) and (d). The consumer price index for industrial workers (base 1982) during 1992-97 is as under :

Year	Rs. Crore	Annual % Increase
1992-93	240	9.6
1993-94	258	7.5
1994-95	279	8.1
1995-96	313	12.2

Per Capita Income in Bihar

4224. SHRI RAMENDRA KUMAR : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether per capita income in Bihar is less than the national average;